## OFFICE OF THE ELECTRICITY OMBUDSMAN

(A Statutory Body of Govt. of NCT of Delhi under the Electricity Act of 2003) B-53, Paschimi Marg, Vasant Vihar, New Delhi-110057 (Phone No.: 011-26144979)

## Review Petition in Appeal No. 10/2021

(Against the CGRF-BRPL's order dated 26.02.2021 in CG. No. 78/2020)

## IN THE MATTER OF

Shri I.S. Rajpurohit

Vs.

**BSES Rajdhani Power Limited** 

Present:

Appellant:

Shri I. S. Rajpurohit

Respondent:

Shri S. Bhattacharjee, Sr. Manager and Shri Deepak

Pathak on behalf of BRPL

Date of Hearing:

09.12.2021

Date of Order:

16.12.2021

## ORDER

1. In the Appeal No. 10/2021, the order was passed on 03.09.2021, wherein the Discom (Respondent) had agreed that the required information in reply to the original request letter dated 20.03.2019 of the Appellant will be provided to him by 31.08.2021. Later on vide letter dated 27.08.2021, the Discom had apprised that a separate intimation letter dated 26.08.2021 has already been sent to the Appellant through which he has been informed that the address of the electricity connection bearing CA No. 103454475 was corrected on 04.02.2021. The copy of letter dated 26.08.2021 was also submitted by the Discom to this Court for reference and record in compliance to the decision of the appeal.

<u> 485 - E</u>

- 2. The Appellant filed a review petition dated 09.09.2021 vide which he submitted that the letter dated 26.08.2021 received from the Discom in compliance to the decision of the appeal does not show that it is the reply to his original application dated 20.03.20219. Hence, he again requested to direct the Discom to carry out the disposal of his original application. Accordingly, the Discom was directed again to issue a fresh letter in which the reference of the original request letter of the Appellant dated 20.03.2019 be specifically mentioned. In view of the above, the Discom issued an other letter dated 20.09.021 addressed to the Appellant, where in the reference of his original letter dated 20.03.2019 as desired by him was specifically mentioned and thus the matter of the Appellant stood disposed of.
- 3. Later on the Appellant filed another Review Application dated 25.09.2021 vide which he again raised certain new issues which were not relevant to the present appeal and did not need any intervention. But in the interest of justice a hearing was held on 09.12.2021 wherein the Appellant again reiterated the same issues as were brought out by him in his Review Petition. The Discom in rebuttal counter argued the Appellant's plea with the remarks that all of his grievances stand redressed after correction of the address on the bills and reply to his original letter and now nothing remains to be adjudicated.

After hearing both the parties, it is prudently concluded that the Appellant has not raised any issues which remained unadjudicated and there are no sufficient grounds, for invoking the rare power of review, in his review application.

As such the present review application stands dismissed as being devoid of merit.

(S.C.Vashishta)
Electricity Ombudsman
16.12.2021